

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/991,466	11/21/2001	Coming Chen	UMC-98-048 CON	3828
7590 01/05/2004			EXAMINER	
William J. Kubida			BARRECA, NICOLE M	
HOGAN & HA	RTSON LLP		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Suite 1500			ARTUNII	- PAPER NOMBER
1200 17th Street			1756	
Denver, CO 8	0202		DATE MAILED: 01/05/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/991,466	CHEN ET AL.				
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Nicole M. Barreca	1756				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence addi	ess			
THE REPLY FILED 25 November 2003 FAILS TO PLAC Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to ave final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this application at the control of the control	ation. A proper reply n places the applicat	to a tion in			
	EPLY [check either a) or b)]					
<ul> <li>a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing.</li> <li>b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).</li> </ul>	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH	g date of the final rejection.	on. See MPEP			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	f extension and the corresponding amo the shortened statutory period for reply be later than three months after the mail	unt of the fee. The appropriate of the final (	opriate extension Office action; or			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:						
(a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
<ul><li>(d)  they present additional claims without canceling</li><li>NOTE:</li></ul>	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims	<b>3</b> .			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).						
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:						
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were	newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we	(s) a)⊠ will not be entered or b) ould be rejected is provided belo		nd an			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>4-10</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) appr	oved or b) disapproved by t	he Examiner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer	it(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	·				
10. Other:						

## Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

The same rejection using the Jang reference was presented in both the (first) non-final rejection and the (second) final rejection and therefore use of the Jang reference is not an issue that was newly raised by the examiner in the final rejection. The certified English translation of the foreign priority document, attempting to remove the Jang reference as prior art, will therefore not be considered at this time. There is no reason why the applicant could not have submitted the certified English translation in response to the previous non-final rejection in order to perfect their foreign priority date. The double patenting rejection was also not an issue that was newly raised by the examiner in the final rejection.

NICOLE BARRECA PATENT EXAMINER

Milla

Art Unit 1756 12/22/03